International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management
Volume 9, Issue 5, May 2026
www.ijresm.com | E-ISSN: 2581-5792 | RESAIM Publishing | www.resaim.com

Agility as a Driver of Resilience: Comparative Case Insights from Global Supply Chain Disruptions

Reena Shyam[1], Afzal Basha[2*]
[1]Director, IA School of Management Studies, Bengaluru, India
[2]Assistant Professor, IA School of Management Studies, Bengaluru, India

***Abstract*: Global supply chains now operate in a VUCA Contemporary global supply chains operate in a VUCA environment characterised by systemic, rather than episodic, pandemics, geopolitical rivalries, cyberattacks, and climatic shocks. Efficiency-oriented, traditional models, such as just-in-time, have been proven insufficient, which shifted supply chains toward agile supply chain practices underpinned by the core principles of responsiveness, flexibility, visibility, and collaboration. This paper analyses three diverse disruptions: CrowdStrike's "Blue Screen of Death" outage, Toyota's semiconductor shortage rebound, and the Ever Given Suez Canal blockage, to investigate how agile principles are applied across digital, manufacturing, and logistics contexts. Digital visibility, cross-functional collaboration, smart redundancy, and iterative learning emerge as key enablers through qualitative secondary data. Findings reveal that agility lessens the immediate disruption impacts but at the same time enhances the long-term recovery and resilience. Strategic recommendations on building adaptive and resilient supply chains in a VUCA world are made.**

***Keywords*: Agile Supply Chain, Digital Supply Chains, Logistics Management, Manufacturing Supply Chains, Supply Chain Resilience, VUCA.**

1. Introduction

A. Background and Context

1) Global Supply Chains in a VUCA World

In today's interconnected world, global supply chains are increasingly operating under VUCA conditions — that is, *Volatility*, *Uncertainty*, *Complexity*, and *Ambiguity*. The term, originally coined in military and leadership contexts, has been widely applied to supply-chain management to reflect how dynamic and unpredictable the environment has become. (ifm, 2022).

Global supply chains (GSCs) are no longer stable, linear pipelines from raw materials to finished goods across borders; instead, they are intricate networks of suppliers, manufacturers, transporters, and customers spanning multiple geographies, regulations, and risk landscapes. The pandemic, climate change, geopolitical rivalry, cyber-risks and other systemic shocks have all exposed how these chains can fragment, delay, and escalate costs in ways that previous management approaches were ill-equipped to handle.

Global supply chains face escalating disruptions from pandemics, geopolitical tensions, cyber threats, and natural disasters. These events often interact and amplify risks across industries.

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed critical vulnerabilities in production and logistics, as global lockdowns and demand shifts caused severe bottlenecks. The European Central Bank (2021) reported that supply-chain issues accounted for nearly one-third of delayed deliveries in advanced economies, while factory shutdowns in Shenzhen and Shanghai forced suppliers like Foxconn to halt production (Axios, 2022).

Geopolitical tensions have worsened supply instability through trade wars and export restrictions. Companies have reported "supply-chain chaos" in rare-earth materials due to frictions between China and Western nations (Times, 2024,)and Red Sea instability has rerouted shipping via longer and costlier paths ((Reuters., 2025)).

The rise of cyberattacks has added another layer of risk, with nearly 30% of firms reporting increased cyber incidents targeting supply chains (Guardian, 2025). Similarly, climate-related disasters such as floods and wildfires increasingly disrupt transport and production. Research indicates that such events could result in annual trade-linked losses exceeding US $80 billion globally (Impact, 2024).

Collectively, these disruptions mark a shift from efficiency-driven, "just-in-time" systems toward strategies emphasising resilience and adaptability. As (GAINSystems, 2023) notes, the pandemic ushered in a new "VUCA" era in which disruption has become a constant feature of global supply management.

B. Problem Statement

With a growing VUCA world, supply chains are experiencing repeated disruptions fueled by pandemics, geopolitics, cyber-attacks, and climatic crises. These cascading shocks have uncovered the vulnerability of conventional, efficiency-oriented systems and emphasized the requirement for adaptable and robust supply chain designs. Whereas the notion of agility has become more salient as a strategic solution, there is still scant empirical wisdom regarding how agile practices are being implemented in various industries and contexts.

To respond to this void, this research studies three

*Corresponding author: afzal@iasms.edu.in

organisational examples that portray varied strategies in establishing supply chain management agility. Through an analysis of how each of these companies has managed disruptions through adaptable processes, digitalisation, and adaptive leadership, the research seeks to identify pragmatic takeaways and conceptual understandings that can guide resilient supply chain frameworks for the VUCA context.

C. Research Objectives

- To investigate how agile principles are implemented in supply chain processes in various disruption contexts.
- To explore how agility drives resilience, responsiveness, and recovery during times of uncertainty and system shocks.
- To identify actionable insights and best practices from organisations that are successful in implementing agile supply chain practices.
- To develop a conceptual framework that relates agility enablers to supply chain resilience and responsiveness.

D. Research Questions

- How do agile practices help organisations respond to disruptions?
- What are the key enablers of agility in supply chains?
- What lessons can be derived from real-world cases?

E. Significance of the Study

This research advances the understanding of supply chain agility as a strategic capability in managing volatility and uncertainty within global networks. It also addresses an important gap in the empirical evidence on how organisations operationalise agile practices to achieve resilience and responsiveness under VUCA conditions. To policymakers, this research offers insights into how supportive regulatory and infrastructural frameworks can be designed to foster adaptive, digitally integrated, and sustainable supply chains. To industry professionals, it identifies actionable enablers like digitalisation, collaborative governance, and adaptive leadership that help in enhancing recovery and continuity during disruptions. From an academic viewpoint, the study adds to the literature on agile and resilient supply chain management by clearly connecting agility enablers to resilience outcomes. It provides a basis for further theoretical improvement and validation across different industries. Overall, it enhances both theoretical and practical views on changing global supply chains from efficiency-focused systems to adaptive networks that can succeed in uncertain situations.

F. Supply Chain Disruptions in the VUCA Environment

The principle of VUCA—Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, and Ambiguity—has its roots in military tactics but has now become a definitional model in contemporary supply chain management (Bennett · & Lemoine., 2014). Over the past few years, pandemics like COVID-19, trade wars, and natural disasters have turned the world supply network into an uncertain system characterised by cascading hazards. Studies by (Ivanov, 2021) show that disruptions have become systemic and impact production, logistics, and demand across borders at the same time.

Lockdowns triggered by the pandemic led to factory closures, labour shortages, and bottlenecks in transport ((ECB, 2021); (Axios., 2022). Geopolitical tensions have further restricted trade flows, particularly in key sectors such as semiconductors and rare earths ((Financial Times, 2025); (Reuters.com, 2025). Climate-related disruptions—such as flooding, droughts, and wildfires—threaten increasingly the transport infrastructure and agricultural supply chains (Economist Impact, 2023). Cyberattacks are a new area of disruption, with almost a third of companies reporting an increase in incidents ((Gaurdian, 2025). These interconnected risks show that disruptions are no longer exceptions but frequent characteristics in the global business landscape.

G. From Efficiency to Resilience: The Strategic Shift

Historically, supply chains were optimised for cost minimisation and lean operations through "just-in-time" principles. This approach, famously used by Toyota, reduced costs by minimising inventory and eliminating waste. However, efficiency-oriented systems often lacked the flexibility to absorb shocks. (Martin & R Towill, 2002) The authors describe a scenario where the philosophy of "one size fits all" does not apply to pipeline design, implementation, and control. Research conducted by the authors and others demonstrates how appropriate global supply chain strategies can be developed contingent upon market characteristics, seeking simultaneously to achieve higher levels of customer responsiveness at a lower total cost to the supply chain as a whole. Following the pandemic, firms have increasingly recognised resilience as a core performance metric. (Ivanov, Introduction to Supply Chain Resilience: Management, Modelling, Technology, 2021) The work focuses on supply chain risks and resilience in more depth, describing the major features of supply chain resilience and explaining methodologies to mitigate supply chain disruptions and recover. Resilience entails the capacity to anticipate, adapt, and recover quickly from disruptions (Ponomarov & Holcomb, 2009) . Researchers now advocate for a balance between efficiency and agility—the ability to reconfigure resources rapidly in response to change. (Braunscheidel & Suresh , 2009)

H. The Role of Agility in Supply Chain Management

Agile supply chain management (ASCM) emphasises responsiveness, flexibility, and collaboration. Being responsive is an increasingly important skill for firms in today's global economy; thus, firms must be agile. Naturally, it follows that an organisation's agility depends on its supply chain being agile. Agility enables firms to respond not only faster but also more intelligently to volatile market conditions (M. Swafford., Ghosh, & Murthy, 2008).

Empirical studies demonstrate that agility strengthens resilience by enabling rapid resource reallocation and recovery (Ivanov, 2023). However, despite growing attention, there remains limited comparative research on how different industries operationalise agile practices to counter distinct

disruption types representing a key research gap and this study aims to address.

I. Research Gap

While many studies discuss the theoretical connection between agility and resilience, real evidence is still scattered across different contexts and sectors. Most past research has looked at manufacturing or technology companies on their own, missing comparisons between industries. Additionally, few studies provide clear insights into how organisations put agility into practice, whether through culture, technology, leadership, or structure. This research fills that gap by examining three organisational cases that show different ways to achieve agility, offering lessons that apply to the wider supply chain ecosystem.

2. Research Methodology

A. Research Design

A qualitative multiple-case study approach is adopted to explore how organisations operationalise agility in response to disruptions. Where possible, a mixed-methods design might complement qualitative insights with quantitative performance data for triangulation. The three cases — the CrowdStrike outage (2024), the Ever Given Suez Canal blockage (2021), and the COVID-19 pandemic (2020) — were purposively selected for their diversity, relevance, and representativeness across different dimensions of global supply chain disruption.

- CrowdStrike outage (2024) illustrates digital supply chain disruptions, highlighting agility in cyber and IT ecosystems.
- Ever Given Suez Canal blockage (2021) represents physical logistics disruptions, focusing on rerouting and operational flexibility in maritime trade.
- COVID-19 pandemic (2020) exemplifies systemic and prolonged disruptions, testing organisational resilience, collaboration, and adaptive capacity.

These cases were preferred because they collectively capture technological, operational, and systemic disruptions, offering a holistic view of agility across different sectors.

B. Data Collection

The research design will employ secondary data from the company reports, journal articles, and credible media to analyse post-2020 supply chain strategies.

C. Data Analysis

A thematic analysis identifies, codes, and interprets patterns related to agility, resilience, and adaptive practices. The emergent themes from multiple cases are compared to derive cross-industry insights.

D. Scope and Limitations

The focus of the study is mainly on post-2020 global disruptions and agile responses within selected industries. Potential limitations include biased secondary sources and a lack of generalisability due to a case-based approach.

3. Case Studies

Case 1: CrowdStrike 'Blue Screen of Death' Outage (2024) – agility in digital supply chains.

Disruption context:

On 19 July 2024, CrowdStrike released a sensor-configuration update (Channel File 291) for its Falcon endpoint security product targeting Microsoft Windows systems. The update contained a logic error, which caused a large number of Windows hosts to crash with the infamous "Blue Screen of Death" (BSOD). (CrowdStrike, 2024).The incident affected approximately 8.5 million Windows devices globally. (Nadeau, 2024).The outage disrupted businesses, hospitals, airports, and critical infrastructure, and raised major concerns about software supply-chain vulnerabilities (cybersecuritydive.com, 2024)

Company/industry response:

CrowdStrike publicly acknowledged the fault and worked to remediate the update rapidly. (Crowdstrike.com, 2024). Microsoft and other ecosystem partners engaged with customers to restore service and outlined the scale of impact. (https://blogs.microsoft.com/, 2024). Government and regulatory bodies began scrutinising digital supply-chain risk in light of the event. (ashurst.com, 2024)

Agile principles applied:

Visibility: The incident led to enhanced transparency about software update deployment and monitoring of endpoint health across large ecosystems. The public reporting forced better visibility of the "digital supply-chain" linkages. (Crowdstrike.com, 2024)

Collaboration: Restoring operations required coordinated action among CrowdStrike, Microsoft, and enterprise customers. Shared data and joint troubleshooting reflected the agile principle of cross-functional collaboration beyond organisational boundaries. (Nadeau, 2024)

Adaptability: CrowdStrike and its clients demonstrated adaptability through rapid rollback of faulty updates, patching, and contingency handling of critical services. Swift realignment of operations showed iterative problem-solving and learning under pressure. (CrowdStrike, 2024)

Speed: Rapid detection and response were crucial in containing the disruption that affected over 8.5 million Windows devices. Agile response frameworks enabled quick communication and recovery, preventing wider systemic impact (Nadeau, 2024)

Key Lessons from CrowdStrike Recovery: The CrowdStrike outage in July 2024 has provided critical lessons in building agility and resilience within digital ecosystems. The incident, triggered by a flawed software update that caused the widespread crash of the system, revealed that resilience extends beyond technology to include governance, collaboration, and learning. Agile governance was quite instrumental since organisations with flexible decision-making structures ensured quicker rollbacks, transparent communication, and accountable actions. Empowerment of cross-functional teams to respond quickly helped contain the disruption effectively. This outage brought to light the imperative of continuous testing and monitoring-linear sandbox testing and real-time validation before deployment prevent systemic breakdowns. No less

important was the response of the collaborative ecosystem; CrowdStrike, Microsoft, and enterprise clients showed that the resilience of organisations requires an effective, cross-organisational response anchored by transparent data sharing and mutual trust. Communication agility was essential for sustaining stakeholder confidence, with timely and credible communication mitigating uncertainty and reassuring users. Furthermore, decentralised resilience allowed organisations to recover faster because they had distributed IT systems and empowered local teams without dependence on control centres. Learning and continuous improvement transformed the crisis into a foundation for future preparedness by embedding feedback loops and adaptive processes into operational frameworks.

Case 2: Toyota's Semiconductor Shortage Recovery (2023) – Building Supply Chain Redundancy and Visibility.

Disruption Context:

The global semiconductor shortage, which began in 2020, continued to impact the automotive industry through 2023. Supply disruptions were primarily driven by increased demand for consumer electronics during the pandemic, combined with production bottlenecks, geopolitical tensions, and natural disasters that affected chip manufacturing hubs in Asia (OECD, 2023). (OECD, 2023).Toyota Motor Corporation, traditionally known for its robust supply chain risk management, was not immune to these disruptions. Despite earlier resilience due to its lessons from the 2011 Tōhoku earthquake and Fukushima disaster, Toyota faced renewed challenges when several of its tier-two and tier-three semiconductor suppliers in Japan, Malaysia, and Taiwan reported extended delays and capacity shortages (Reuters, 2023).However, disruptions in 2021–2022 still forced temporary production halts at several plants in Japan and North America. By 2023, Toyota began implementing enhanced visibility tools and digital monitoring systems to manage chip inventories and secure long-term contracts with multiple suppliers across regions, marking a shift from lean to "smart redundancy"

Company/industry response:

Toyota Motor Corporation, despite its historically resilient supply chain, faced production halts in 2021–2022 due to persistent semiconductor shortages that continued through 2023 (Reuters, 2023) . The company acknowledged that its traditional *just-in-time* model, effective during past crises such as the 2011 Tōhoku earthquake, required recalibration to address the global and prolonged nature of chip disruptions (Supply Chain Dive, 2022).

By 2023, Toyota initiated a strategic shift toward *"smart redundancy"*—balancing efficiency with resilience. The firm diversified its semiconductor sourcing by securing long-term contracts with multiple suppliers across regions and expanded visibility into tier-2 and tier-3 suppliers, particularly in Japan, Malaysia, and Taiwan (OECD, 2023) (Supply Chain Dive, 2023)

To enhance transparency, Toyota deployed digital supply chain monitoring systems that offered real-time data on inventories and lead times (Toyota Motor Europe, 2024). It also recalibrated its inventory policy, maintaining larger buffers for critical components while retaining lean discipline (Supply Chain Dive., 2023).

This hybrid model—combining digital visibility, multi-sourcing, and strategic stockpiling—enabled Toyota to mitigate further disruptions and strengthen its readiness for future supply shocks (o9 Solutions., 2024)

Key lessons from Toyota's recovery include:

Toyota's anticipatory actions greatly enhanced the resilience of its supply chain as of 2023. The hybrid strategy—converging digital technologies and judicious redundancy—lessened reliance on sole-sourcing vendors and lessened the effect of subsequent semiconductor fluctuations.

Resilience hinges on visibility: Real-time analytics for data can identify disruptions beforehand, enabling quicker mitigation. Intelligent redundancy versus sheer efficiency: Having limited buffer stocks of key parts can avoid expensive shutdowns. Tier collaboration: Visibility and digital connections to sub-tier suppliers add overall agility. Endless tuning: Even robust systems such as Toyota's JIT require occasional tuning to cope with new global conditions. On the whole, Toyota's strategy showed how technological visibility combined with diverse sourcing could turn conventional supply chains into adaptable, data-sensitive ecosystems resilient to systemic shocks.

Case 3: Ever Given Suez Canal Blockage (2021) – logistics agility and rerouting strategies.

Disruption Context:

In March 2021, the Ever Given, a 400-meter-long container vessel operated by Evergreen Marine, became lodged across the Suez Canal, blocking one of the world's most critical trade routes for nearly a week. The incident halted over 400 ships, delayed approximately 12% of global trade, and caused an estimated $9 billion in daily trade losses (BBC News, 2021) (Lloyd's List., 2021).The incident created wrinkle effects across supply chains worldwide, disrupting deliveries of raw materials, manufactured goods, and energy supplies.

Company/Industry Response:

Shipping firms and logistics providers swiftly rerouted vessels around the Cape of Good Hope, despite longer transit times and higher fuel costs (Reuters, 2021). Maersk, for instance, utilised digital route optimisation and customer communication systems to update clients on cargo status and alternate delivery schedules (Maersk, 2021). Ports and freight forwarders coordinated closely to manage congestion once traffic resumed, demonstrating rapid crisis management capabilities. Once the canal reopened, port authorities, terminal operators, and freight forwarders coordinated closely to manage the sudden surge in vessel arrivals and container backlogs, demonstrating high levels of operational collaboration and crisis management agility.

Agile Principles Applied:

Visibility: Ship locations and anticipated arrival times were made transparent by real-time vessel tracking systems and data dashboards.

Cooperation: Cascade effects were reduced through cross-industry organization between port authorities, shipping lines, and logistics partners.

Adaptability: To lessen downstream disruptions, businesses modified their production schedules, inventory plans, and shipping routes.

Speed: Extended bottlenecks in international trade flows were avoided through prompt decision-making and adaptable rerouting.

Key lessons from the Ever-Given crisis:

The Ever Given crisis served as a forceful reminder of how one chokepoint disruption ripples through the global supply chain. It underlined the fact that while efficiency-driven models have optimised global trade, they also introduce fragility when critical nodes fail. Logistics and shipping companies started prioritising agility, diversification, and digital visibility as core resilience strategies rather than optional add-ons.

Among the significant effects was a hastened adoption of digital technologies such as AI-driven route optimisation, predictive analytics, and real-time tracking systems that enhance visibility across shipping networks. These tools shall provide improved decision-making by enabling operators to anticipate congestion, evaluate alternative routes, and communicate effectively with customers. The crisis also strengthened the case for scenario-based contingency planning, prompting organisations to design flexible routing strategies and maintain updated risk maps for critical waterways like the Suez Canal and Panama Canal.

It further instilled a sense of cooperation and shared accountability within the maritime value chain, where shipping lines, ports, insurers, and governments come together to coordinate responses and standardise communication protocols in times of crisis. The event of Ever Given underlined the fact that supply chain resilience relies not on operational efficiency but on adaptability, foresight, and connected intelligence.

4. Discussion

The following three case studies illustrate the multifaceted vulnerabilities of global supply chains and the strategic importance of agility in maintaining continuity under VUCA conditions: CrowdStrike's "Blue Screen of Death" outage in 2024, Toyota's semiconductor shortage recovery in 2023, and the Ever-Given Suez Canal blockage in 2021. These case studies provide empirical evidence that agile principles enhance organisational resilience, responsiveness, and recovery across both digital and physical supply networks.

A. Agility as a Driver of Resilience

Agility was found to be the core enabler in the resilience of all these cases. CrowdStrike's rapid detection and rollback of a faulty software update, Toyota's transition from lean efficiency to "smart redundancy," and the rapid rerouting of the logistics sector after the Suez Canal blockage-these are just a few examples of how agile mechanisms enable quick adaptation to unforeseen disruptions. These responses underline the thought that resilience cannot simply remain a function of resources but of the organization's ability to sense, respond, and recover dynamically.

B. Visibility and Data-Driven Decision-Making

Indeed, increased visibility was a factor in both agility and resilience. Digital monitoring, dependency mapping, and analytics in real-time allowed for quick problem identification and fact-based decision-making. In CrowdStrike's case, transparency in the deployment of platform updates and monitoring of system health limited systemic fallout. In the same manner, Toyota uses digital dashboards for managing chip inventory, and shipping lines make use of AI-enabled route optimization; data-driven visibility is key in proactive risk management and coordinated recovery.

C. Collaboration and Network Coordination

Cross-functional and inter-organisational collaboration was vitally important for dampening the cascading effects of disruption. CrowdStrike's collaborative recovery with Microsoft, Toyota's engagement with sub-tier suppliers, and the coordinated logistics response to the blockage of the Suez all demonstrate how collaborative ecosystems develop and strengthen agility. These cases confirm that supply chain agility exceeds intra-firm flexibility and involves network-level coordination, shared situational awareness, and rapid joint action.

D. Adaptability and Organisational Learning

Agility also translates to adaptability and learning. In each case, there were iterative adjustments made: CrowdStrike improved update governance, Toyota recalibrated its sourcing models, and shipping firms continually refined route management protocols. These learning loops serve to reinforce the idea that agility has less to do with velocity and everything to do with iterative improvement and adaptive capacity. Organisations that institutionalise such learning mechanisms are in a better position to evolve their supply chain strategies as risks emerge.

5. Managerial Implications

The results deliver actionable insights for managers in their efforts to enhance supply chain resilience in VUCA environments. First, there is the need for prioritisation of visibility through digital integration and real-time analytics to enable proactive risk detection and decision-making. Second, collaborative governance structures-including closer engagement with suppliers, partners, and regulators crucial in ensuring that there will be coordinated responses during crises. Third, adaptive capacity needs to be institutionalised through scenario planning, rapid feedback loops, and continuous learning from disruptions. Finally, managers should strike a balance between efficiency and strategic redundancy by investing in alternative sourcing and contingency systems that will ensure continuity without putting competitiveness at risk. Put together, these measures can transform supply chains from reactive systems into agile, learning-oriented networks capable of thriving amidst uncertainty.

6. Theoretical Implications

The study reinforces the interdependency of supply chain

agility and resilience within dynamic, disruption-prone environments and contributes to a greater theoretical understanding of these concepts. It extends existing frameworks by illustrating that agility operates both as a dynamic capability and a strategic orientation in enabling organisations to effectively sense, respond, and adapt under VUCA conditions. The findings indicate a need to reconceptualise traditional efficiency-based models of supply chain management toward adaptive, network-centric paradigms in which visibility, collaboration, and learning are key constructs.

The comparative case analysis also provides empirical grounding for the agility–resilience linkage and suggests that agility is not a reactive mechanism but an evolving capability. This provides a foundation for embedding agility enablers such as digitalisation, cross-functional integration, and knowledge sharing within resilience theory. Future research can be extended by developing quantitative models that establish how different agility dimensions impact long-term resilience outcomes across industries.

7. Conclusion and Future Research Directions

The study reinforces the interdependency of supply chain agility and resilience within dynamic, disruption-prone environments and contributes to a greater theoretical understanding of these concepts. It extends existing frameworks by illustrating that agility operates both as a dynamic capability and a strategic orientation in enabling organisations to effectively sense, respond, and adapt under VUCA conditions. The findings indicate a need to reconceptualise traditional efficiency-based models of supply chain management toward adaptive, network-centric paradigms in which visibility, collaboration, and learning are key constructs.

The comparative case analysis also provides empirical grounding for the agility–resilience linkage and suggests that agility is not a reactive mechanism but an evolving capability. This provides a foundation for embedding agility enablers such as digitalisation, cross-functional integration, and knowledge sharing within resilience theory. Future research can be extended by developing quantitative models that establish how different agility dimensions impact long-term resilience outcomes across industries.

The research underscores that in a VUCA world, traditional efficiency-focused supply chains are insufficient. Agility manifested through visibility, collaboration, adaptability, and speed is crucial for managing disruptions across digital, manufacturing, and logistics domains. The cases examined illustrate that agile practices are not industry-specific but universally applicable principles that can transform vulnerabilities into competitive strengths.

Ultimately, resilient supply chains are those that combine technological foresight, flexible structures, and collaborative networks to respond intelligently and swiftly to uncertainty. Organisations that embrace agile practices are better equipped to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from disruptions, ensuring continuity and sustained performance in an increasingly unpredictable global landscape.

References

[1] Ashurst, "Blue screen of death: Global CrowdStrike outage is a call to action," Jul. 2024. Available: https://www.ashurst.com/en/insights/blue-screen-of-death-global-crowdstrike-outage-is-a-call-to-action

[2] Axios, "China's COVID lockdowns hit Apple supplier," Mar. 14, 2022. Available: https://www.axios.com/2022/03/14/chinas-covid-lockdowns-hit-apple-supplier

[3] Axios, "New COVID lockdowns in China freshen supply chain pressures," Apr. 8, 2022. Available: https://www.axios.com/2022/04/08/shanghai-lockdowns-covid

[4] N. Bennett and G. Lemoine, "What VUCA really means for you," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 92, no. 1–2, pp. 27–42, 2014.

[5] M. J. Braunscheidel and N. C. Suresh, "The organizational antecedents of a firm's supply chain agility for risk mitigation and response," *Journal of Operations Management*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 119–140, 2009.

[6] BBC News, "Suez Canal blockage updates," Mar. 29, 2021. Available: https://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-56505413

[7] CrowdStrike, "Preliminary post-incident review (PIR): Content configuration update impacting the Falcon sensor and the Windows operating system (BSOD)," Jul. 24, 2024. Available: https://www.crowdstrike.com/en-us/blog/falcon-content-update-preliminary-post-incident-report/

[8] Cybersecurity Dive, "CrowdStrike outage affects supply chains globally," Aug. 2, 2024. Available: https://www.cybersecuritydive.com/news/crowdstrike-outage-supply-chain/723198/

[9] Economist Impact, *Trade in Transition: Climate Change and Supply Chains*, 2023. Available: https://impact.economist.com/projects/trade-in-transition/climate_change/

[10] Economist Impact, "Climate resilience and global trade: Quantifying disruption costs," Sep. 2024. Available: https://impact.economist.com/

[11] European Central Bank, "The impact of supply bottlenecks on the global economy," *Economic Bulletin*, 2021. Available: https://www.ecb.europa.eu/press/economic-bulletin/focus/2021/html/ecb.ebbox202106_04~63510c70d1.en.html

[12] *Financial Times*, "Western companies warn of China rare-earth supply chain chaos," Oct. 14, 2025. Available: https://www.ft.com/content/55322307-739c-4915-96f6-9f059b460975

[13] *Financial Times*, "Global supply-chain chaos as China-West tensions hit rare-earth markets," Mar. 12, 2024. Available: https://www.ft.com/

[14] GAINSystems, *Navigating the VUCA Supply Chain Era: Building Resilience Through Agility*, 2023. Available: https://www.gainsystems.com/

[15] *The Guardian*, "Cyberattacks on supply chains surge as firms struggle to secure vendors," Mar. 11, 2025. Available: https://www.theguardian.com

[16] *The Guardian*, "Nearly a third of bosses report increase in cyberattacks on their supply chains," Oct. 6, 2025. Available: https://www.theguardian.com/business/2025/oct/06/nearly-a-third-of-bosses-report-increase-in-cyber-attacks-on-their-supply-chains

[17] IBM, "Recent CrowdStrike outage: What you should know," Jul. 19, 2024. Available: https://www.ibm.com/think/news/recent-crowdstrike-outage-what-you-should-know

[18] IFM, *Manufacturing Resilience and Digital Transformation*, May 2022. Available: https://www.ifm.com/

[19] D. Ivanov, *Introduction to Supply Chain Resilience: Management, Modelling, Technology*. Springer, 2021.

[20] D. Ivanov, "Supply chain viability and the COVID-19 pandemic: A conceptual and formal generalisation of four major adaptation strategies," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 3535–3552, 2021.

[21] D. Ivanov, *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 4031–4045, 2023.

[22] Lloyd's List, "Suez Canal blockage is holding up $9.6bn worth of goods a day," Mar. 25, 2021. Available: https://lloydslist.maritimeintelligence.informa.com/LL1136239

[23] Maersk, "News and updates," 2021. Available: https://www.maersk.com/news

[24] P. M. Swafford, S. Ghosh, and N. Murthy, "Achieving supply chain agility over IT integration and flexibility," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 288–297, 2008.

[25] Microsoft, "Helping our customers through the CrowdStrike outage," Jul. 20, 2024. Available: https://blogs.microsoft.com/blog/2024/07/20/helping-our-customers-through-the-crowdstrike-outage/

[26] National Library of Medicine, "Impacts of COVID-19 on global supply chains: Facts and perspectives," *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 153–166, Aug. 2020.

[27] OECD, *The Global Semiconductor Shortage: Policy Responses and Long-Term Resilience Strategies*, 2023.

[28] S. Y. Ponomarov and M. C. Holcomb, "Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience," *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 124–143, 2009.

[29] Reuters, "Suez Canal blockage news update," Mar. 29, 2021. Available: https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/suez-canal-blockage

[30] Reuters, "Chip crunch forces further production cuts at Toyota," Mar. 15, 2023. Available: https://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/chip-crunch-forces-further-production-cuts-toyota-2022-03-15

[31] Reuters, "Toyota adjusts production plan amid ongoing chip shortages," May 12, 2023. Available: https://www.reuters.com/

[32] Reuters, "Red Sea crisis reroutes global shipping, adding costs and delays," Jan. 8, 2025. Available: https://www.reuters.com/

[33] Reuters, "Tariffs and conflict causing major volatility in shipping industry, says UN trade agency," Sep. 24, 2025. Available: https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/tariffs-conflict-causing-major-volatility-shipping-industry-says-un-trade-agency-2025-09-24/

[34] Toyota Motor Europe, "Toyota's digital approach to supply chain management," Dec. 2024. Available: https://www.toyota-europe.com/news/2024/toyotas-digital-approach

[35] *The New York Times*, "Pandemic cripples essential supply chains as PPE shortages hit healthcare systems worldwide," Mar. 2020. Available: https://www.nytimes.com

[36] o9 Solutions, "Toyota supply chain resilience," Feb. 20, 2024. Available: https://o9solutions.com/resources/toyota-supply-chain-resilience/