

Comparative Analysis of Four Phase Interleaved Boost converter with Coupled Inductor, Battery Charging Application

Raghuveer^{1*}, T. N. Raghavendra²

¹PG Student, Department of Electrical Engineering, University Visvesvaraya College of Engineering, Bangalore, India ²Associate Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, University Visvesvaraya College of Engineering, Bangalore, India

Abstract: This article analyzes the different controller with coupled and uncoupled inductors are compared. Battery charging with constant voltage (CV) and constant current (CC). IBC is highly efficient and reliable as it uses coupled inductors and the size of power converter is also reduced. Interleaving technique is uses the PID controller. The comparative analysis of the converter circuits is simulated in MATLAB Simulink and the outcome to improve performance of the converter.

Keywords: IBC Interleaved Boost converter, Coupled inductors, Battery Charging, Constant Current (CC), Constant Voltage (CV), PID Controller.

1. Introduction

In recent years advancement in technology led to the increase in electronic devices or equipment's Using in industries, homes and domestic purposes generating electricity from the renewable energies like solar, wind, fuel cell and etc. for this power converters are required. This will give power conversion from low power to high power applications.

Now-a-day interleaving topology is using widely in large scale industries and small-scale industries. Switch mode power supply (SMPS) are playing most important role in this type of topologies. The most frequently used that is single step-up dc to dc converter. By using this non isolated dc to dc converter it can achieve low voltage to high voltage in this power converter have high ripple input current and output voltage and high stress on the power semiconductor switch to overcome this by using Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter. This dc-to-dc converter has equal current share in each leg and multiple boost converters are connected in parallel, each phase shifted 90° by interleaving technique. The power converter are works with highly efficient and more reliability compare to conventional boost converter and also reduces the input current ripple and output voltage ripple.

The interleaved boost converter it has uncoupled inductor size is large compare to conventional boost converter because of high power application, to overcome this by using coupled inductor that is directly and inversely coupled inductor. by coupling of the inductors, it reduces the size and winding losses of the inductor and current sharing is equal in circuit the

*Corresponding author: rgsidnalkar1@gmail.com

coupling co-efficient is $M = K\sqrt{L1*L2}$.

In this Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter are analyze the different control techniques those are P, PI, PID controllers are used and also open loop with coupled inductors, closed loop with coupled inductors. The load is taken as Battery. The battery is charging method is constant current (CC) and constant voltage (CV). And the circuit was compared by simulation using MATLAB/Simulink.



2. Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter

A. Open Loop Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter

In this open loop four phase interleaved boost converter are operated in parallel and 90° out of phase. it includes power semiconductor switches that is MOSFET, power diodes, output filter capacitance and battery is a load. Those are S1, S2, S3, S4 and D1, D2, D3, D4 and Co Respectively. the switching of the converter is same. The parameters of four converters are assumed to be same.



Fig. 2. Open loop 4 phase interleaved boost converter

The input current ripple is reduced by converters are connected in parallel, therefore the current is equally sharing in all the four branches and the efficiency is increased and also coupled inductors are used it will reduce the losses of inductor and it has smaller input current ripple than the uncoupled inductors.

B. Closed Loop Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter

In this FPIBC dc-dc converter is operated in closed loop manner. Which is have different control techniques like voltage control, current control, P controller, PI controller that is Proportional Integral controller, PID that is controller, PD controller that is Proportional Derivative controllers are designed with 'Ziegler Nichols Second Method' of Tuning Rules for controller designed for the DC-DC Converter that is step up dc-dc converter. It will operate on the same switching frequency F_s .



Fig. 3. Closed loop 4 4 phase interleaved boost converter

3. The Working of FPIBC is Different Modes of Operations with Waveforms



Fig. 4. Duty cycle of 4 phase interleaved boost converter



Fig. 5. Conventional boost converter

Fig. 6. Inductor current waveforms in range of duty ratio $\frac{1}{2} \le D \le \frac{3}{4}$

4. Design Methodology

A. PID Controller Design

PI controller improves the transient response and eliminates the steady state error. The proportional and integral gain constant was derived by trial & error method.

P-I-D controller has the optimum control dynamics including zero steady state error, fast response (short rise time), no oscillations and higher stability. The necessity of using a derivative gain component in addition to the PI controller is to eliminate the overshoot and the oscillations occurring in the output response of the system. One of the major advantages of the P-I-D controller is that it can be used with higher order processes including more than single energy storage.

It can be calculated by Ziegler-Nichol's step response method also.

 K_p, K_i, K_d is set to zero

 K_p is rises gradually from 0 to critical value, when oscillation is stable and sustain.

Simplified Equation of PID

$$K_p = K_p \tag{1}$$

$$K_i = \frac{K_p}{T_i}$$
(2)

$$K_d = K_p * T_d$$
(3)

 $K_d = K_p * T_d$ $K_p =$ Proportional gain $K_i =$ Integral gain $K_d =$ Derivative gain

 K_{cr} = Critical gain

 P_{cr} = Critical Period

 T_i = Integral time period

$$T_d$$
 = Derivative time period

From the Equations (1), (2) & (3)

$$K_p = 0.01; K_i = 10; K_d = 0.01$$

1) Design of FPIBC

Duty Cycle D =
$$1 - \frac{12}{28} = 0.57$$
 (4)

$$D \approx 0.6$$

Input Voltage Vin = $L \frac{\Delta i}{(1-D)T}$ (5)

Let $\Delta I = 1 \%$ of 40A

$$\Delta I = 0.4A \tag{6}$$

Switching Frequency $F_S = 30$ k

$$T = \frac{1}{30k} = 3.333e^{-5} \sec$$
 (7)

Inductor design

$$L = \frac{Vin(1-D)}{Fs*\Delta I} = \frac{12(1-0.6)}{30K*0.4} = 470\mu f$$

Mutual Inductance $M = K\sqrt{L1*L2}$ (8)

$$M = 0.9 \sqrt{(470 * \mu)^2} M = 420 \mu H$$

Output Capacitance

$$Co = \frac{i * \Delta t}{\Delta y} =$$

$$\frac{i}{Fout * \Delta v} = \frac{40}{120k * 0.4} = 937 \mu F \approx 1000 \mu F$$
(9)

$$Co = 1000 \mu F$$

Gate Pulse
$$\theta = \frac{360}{N} = \frac{360}{4} = 90^{\circ}$$
 (10)

N = No. of Phases $V_0 = Output voltage$

K = Coupling Coefficient

The table 1 shows the Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter with coupled inductors and battery is taken as load and simulation design parameter.

B. Simulation Design Parameters

TableT	
FPIBC simulation design parameters	
Parameters	Design values
Input Voltage, Vin	12V
Output Voltage, Vo	24-28V
Inductor, L1=L2=L3=L4	470μΗ
Ripple Voltage ∆v	0.4V
Output Capacitor, Co	1000µF
Battery Load	24v,100Ah
Switching Frequency, F_S	30KHz
Duty Cycle, D	60%
Ripple Voltage ΔI	0.4A

5. Simulation Circuit and Results

Fig. 7. Four phase interleaved boost converter with coupled inductor, closed loop

Fig. 13. Battery current and SOC

Fig. 14. Battery voltage and S

Fig. 15. Four phase interleaved boost converter with uncoupled inductor, closed loop

Fig. 16. Input uncoupled inductor current closed loop

Fig. 17. Battery voltage and current of FPIBC closed loop with uncoupled inductor

Fig. 18. Total input uncoupled inductor current closed loop

Fig. 19. Uncoupled inductor current closed loop

Fig. 20. Uncoupled inductor battery SOC and voltage closed loop

Fig. 21. Uncoupled inductor battery SOC and current closed loop

The comparative analysis done on closed loop coupled inductor and uncoupled inductor. Input Ripple current is reduced to 0.3A from 5.45A and ripple voltage is 0.003 by constant voltage battery charging method. And conversion efficiency is 98% this is for coupled inductor, for uncoupled inductor 92% and the both coupled and uncoupled inductor are operated in closed loop.

In this Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter with Coupled inductor with open loop and closed loop system was analyzed and Battery charging application taken as load.

Transient response of the system was improved by using closed loop controller with effects of coupled inductor.

The Peak over shoot of the coupled inductor of Four Phase Interleaved Boost Converter was lesser than uncoupled inductor.

6. Conclusion

The comparative analysis of Four Phase Interleaved Boost converter done by MATLAB/Simulink. The circuit was simulated in open loop and closed loop and with using coupled inductor. For this reduces input current ripple as well as output ripple voltage and load are battery which 24V, 100Ah which is charging in constant current (CC) and constant voltage (CV). Also analyzed that conversion efficiency higher in closed loop and less in open loop.

References

- N. Shanthi and R. Deepalaxmi, "Performance analysis of Three Level Interleaved Boost Converter with Coupled Inductor," 2019 Fifth International Conference on Electrical Energy Systems (ICEES), 2019, pp. 1-6.
- [2] Mohd. Azhar Harimon, Asmarashid Ponniran, Mohd Amirul Naim Kasiran "A Study on 3-phase Interleaved DC-DC Boost Converter Structure and Operation for Input Current Stress Reduction". International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems 8(4):1948, 2007
- [3] Archana R. Nikhar, S. M. Apte; Rahul Somalwar, "Review of various control techniques for DC-DC interleaved boost, converters 2016 International Conference on Global Trends in Signal Processing, Information Computing and Communication (ICGTSPICC).
- [4] Sudha Ramasamy, Abishri P., Umashankar S., "Review of Coupled Two and Three Phase Interleaved Boost Converter (IBC) and Investigation of Four Phase IBC for Renewable Application, IJRER, vol. 6, no. 2, 2016.
- [5] Lazic, M., Zivanov, N., Sasic, B., Design of Mutiphase Boost Converter for Hybrid Fuel Cell/Battery Power Sources, Paths to Sustainable Energy, INTECH, pp. 359-404, 2010.
- [6] Miriam Jarabicova, Slavomir Kascak, Michal Prazenica, "Interleaved Boost Converter with Coupled Inductor – Theory and Application. International Journal of New Technology and Research, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 91-96, April 2018.
- [7] J. P. Lee, H. Cha, D. Shin K. J. Lee, "Analysis and Design of Coupled Inductors for Two-Phase Interleaved DC-DC Converters," Journal of Power Electronics, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 339-348, 2013.
- [8] D. Ebisumoto, M. Ishihara, S. Kimura, W. Martinez, M. Noah, M. Yamamoto, J. Imaoka, Design of a four-phase interleaved boost circuit with closed-coupled inductors. Proceedings of the IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), Milwaukee, WI, USA, 2016.
- [9] Venkat Sai Prasad Gouri Bhatla, "Analysis and comparison of two highgain interleaved coupled inductor boost converters," 2015
- [10] Ajith T. N., "Two Stage Interleaved Boost Converter and Simulation in CCM and DCM", IJERT, vol. 3, no. 7, July 2014.
- [11] Gyu-Yeong Choe, Jong-Soo Kim, Hyun-Soo Kang and Byoung-Kuk Lee, "An Optimal Design Methodology of an Interleaved Boost Converter for Fuel Cell Applications," Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 319-328, 2010.

- [12] Serkan Öztürk, "Design of Three Phase Interleaved DC/DC Boost Converter with All SiC Semiconductors for Electric Vehicle Applications" International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 40.45 (2015): 15815-15822.
- [13] Tuna, Murat, Ayse Ergun Amac, and Muhlis Akm "The comparative analysis of boost DC/DC converter used in hybrid electric vehicles."

Energy Education Science and Technology Part A-Energy Science and Research 30.1 (2012): 693-700.

[14] J. Dawidziuk, "Review and comparison of high efficiency high power boost DC/DC converters for photovoltaic applications", Bulletin of the polish academy of sciences technical sciences, vol. 59, no. 4, 2011.