International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management
Volume 4, Issue 12, December 2021
https://www.ijresm.com | ISSN (Online): 2581-5792

Empirical Evidence from Pakistan's Emerging Economy on the Relationship Between Corporate Diversification and Tax Avoidance

Umair Ali[1*], Kainat Durrani[2], Ahmad Ali[3], Shabbir Hussain[4]

[1]*Student, Department of Aviation Management, Superior University, Lahore, Pakistan*

[2,3,4]*Professor, Department of Aviation Management, Superior University, Lahore, Pakistan*

***Abstract*: Businesses utilize tax avoidance methods to avoid paying taxes and boost their after-tax income. In the scientific literature, tax evasion has recently become a focus of discussion. Taxes are an important part of the budget and revenue of developing countries like Pakistan. Because of this, goal of this study is to see how business diversity affects tax avoidance by companies listed on Stock exchange of Pakistan. For sample selection research, utilize twenty-two separate industries to choose 129industries based upon data usage. On an annual basis, study's temporal horizon is 13 years, commencing in 2006 and concluding in 2018. Research utilize GAAPETR to quantify tax evasion in addition to entropy-index use for corporate diversification.**

***Keywords*: Corporate diversification.**

1. Introduction

Charge aversion is the most important issue in corporate money writing, and it has sparked a lot of debate in political and academic circles (Klamm and Huseynov, 2012). According to Dharmapala and Deasi (2009), tax evasion has increased considerably in the modern study literature, whereas Labro and Gallemore (2009) believe the same (2015). Tax avoidance is a term that encompasses a wide range of tax planning tactics and actions aimed at reducing taxable income. These tactics are used by businesses to avoid paying taxes and boost after-tax earnings while staying within the law (Lisowsky, 2010&Wilson, 2009). The importance of tax avoidance in the current business environment of developed countries has been highlighted in the current research literature by major corporations such as Facebook and Starbucks (Guenther, Krull, Davis & Williams, 2015), as well as major financial scandals such as Enron and Tyco (Wilson, 2009).

Tax avoidance is not illegal, but it is unethical behaviour used to gain an advantage by individuals or businesses. In poor countries like Pakistan, tax evasion has a significant impact on state revenue, allowing them to meet their financial obligations (Riahi-Belkaoui & Picur, 2006). The government has enhanced tax penalties in order to improve tax collection (Seyyedi & Mashaiekhi, 2015). Despite the fact that workers were required to pay compensations, according to a New York Times report (NYT) paper, America's largest firm paid no taxes on $14.2 billion in profits and received $3.2 billion in tariff acknowledgements (Hundal, 2011). It was also determined that 60% of the country's largest firms do not pay a significant amount of taxation (Braithwaite, 1998). Richardson and Lanes (2011) investigated 58 Australian firms and organizations suspected of tax cheating. Furthermore, because tax revenue is required to cover both development and non-development costs, an effective tax collection system is essential.

According to Kenny (2002), a significant proportion of people do not pay taxes, negatively impacting social capital and community ethics. While research shows that firms are socially responsible for tax payments (Ipino, Chircop, Parbonetti & Fabrizi, 2018), these techniques can help businesses activate social capital while lowering tax avoidance. Furthermore, the findings show that raising the minimum tax rate has boosted both absolute and relative tax evasion. The underground economy is another key factor that may impact tax avoidance. Researchers looking into the underground economy consider the amount of tax evaded to be equal. According to Sam (2010), the primary purpose of tax evasion is for most people to fail to report their income to the tax authorities, resulting in problems with tax collection. According to the study, the underground economy and tax avoidance are related but not identical.

Corporate assessment avoidance is influenced by a variety of characteristics, including innovative work, intangible resources, capital force, productivity, obligation, and firm scale (Obid, Salihu, and Annuar, 2014; Anwar and Mulyadi, 2014; Zhang, Ding, Duan and Hou, 2018). Company tax avoidance is influenced by corporate administration characteristics such as CEO ownership, family ownership, CSR, overseas ownership, and women on sheets, notwithstanding CEO ownership, family ownership, CSR, overseas ownership, and women on sheets (Deslandes, Landry, and Fortin, 2013; Blouin, Jagolinzer, and Larcker, Armstrong, 2015). Corporate expansion is another factor that promotes charge aversion in emerging countries. It's amazing that in underdeveloped countries like Pakistan, there are so few inquiries about corporate expansion and evaluation aversion.

The impact of business growth on charge aversion has

*Corresponding author: syedzadaaa94@gmail.com

strengthened scholastic grip and elevated the relevance of study in financial writing. The process through which businesses expand their operations is known as corporate expansion (Zheng, 2017). Growth is linked to a bevy of advantages, according to analysts, including enhanced market power, expert distribution, a high factor of creation, the most efficient use of present assets, and lower execution changeability (Singh, Chakrabarti, and Mehmood, 2007). As a result of business expansion, it may be able to inspect, test, and dismantle the determinants of duty avoidance. The impact of corporate expansion on charge aversion has increased scholastic grip and raised the importance of research in financial writing. Heitzman and Hanlon (2010) looked into the labor and product costs that shift from one area of a similar company to the next. The exchange expenses are used to distribute benefits between divisions for billing purposes (Smith, 2002). As a result, the relationship between corporate expansion and duty avoidance in the rising economy has received little attention.

A. Objective

This review explores the connection between corporate enhancement and duty aversion in arising economies like Pakistan.

B. Scope of Study

In agricultural countries like Pakistan, charges are a critical component of the country's budget and incomes. This concentrate also delivers controllers significant arrangement advice on the topic of corporate enhancement and avoiding corporation assessments. This evaluation could help the government's chief revenue authority (FBR) speed up the introduction of specialised ways for increasing charge installments.

C. GAP

According to previous study, there is no significant difference between charge forcefulness and cost aversion. Some experts have different meanings for these phrases, while others have the same meaning for both. As a result, this research looks into the long-standing relationship between business expansion and cost avoidance in developed economies like Pakistan, China, and India. The argument about the relationship between organisational spreading and duty aversion, on the other hand, is widened.

D. Area of Study

Aside from that, focus on using company traits as control factors, such as influence, firm productivity, capital use proportion, and market to book proportion. Finally, the study offers strategy recommendations for the Pakistani government, strategy makers, consistency bodies, charge authorities, financial backers, and other partners.

In this Study Cooperate Diversification is Independent Variable and Tax Avoidance is dependent Variable.

E. Background Information

The purpose of this investigation is to look at the impact of corporate enhancement on charge evasion based on the office hypothesis. Hypotheses have subtleties, as shown below.

F. Theory of Agency

Means and Berle (1932) and Smith (1932) both emphasized the ownership and control division mechanisms (1776). The control division between the head and the specialised causes the organisational challenge (Means & Berle, 1932). The hypothesis clarifies the relationship between CEOs, investors, and experts (Meckling and Jensen, 1976). The leaders and CEOs of organizations are chosen by the investors or proprietors for the benefit of the investors or proprietors. Investors and CEOs are two different types of people in the company, each with their own set of goals. Proprietors want directors to operate in their best interests and wealth; however, the problem emerges when supervisors act in their best interests and benefits rather than promoting shareholder wealth. Managers' motive is to focus on their own personal circumstances and maximise their own incomes and advantages, whereas owners' motivation is to boost the company's worth, which in turn improves the investor's wealth. The kind and severity of workplace issues can have an impact on the amount of money saved. More research on corporate cost avoidance in systems of organization disputes, according to analysts, is needed (Richardson and Lanis,2012; Dharmapala and Desai, 2006; Scholes, 2012).

Previous research looked into the relationship between corporate expansion limits (a portion of a firm given at a lower cost than the assumed value of the offer, known as an expanded rebate) and corporate administration, as well as office rivalry between investors (Principal) and supervisors (Agents) (Samwick and Aggarwal, 2013). Differentiated firms, according to some observers, have a poorer corporate administration instrument than independent businesses (Yermack, Hoechle, Walter, and Schmid, 2012). Frail corporate administration, according to Dharmapala and Desai (2006), destroys the link between investors (Principal) and directors (Agents), and causes business administrators to be less forceful about increasing firm worth through charge aversion. More vulnerable corporate governance implies that upgraded enterprises are less likely to engage in duty evasion than autonomous firms Zheng (2017).

2. Review of the Literature and Formulation of Hypotheses

A. Tax Avoidance and Corporate Diversification

As proactive Unstated State enterprises, there is only one review accessible that explores the relationship between business expansion and tariff avoidance from Zheng, (2017). Corporate broadening is a strategy used by companies to improve their operations (Zheng, 2017). Matsusaka (2001) discovered that broadening is a mechanism by which companies look for new job possibilities for their top executives under the guise of business expansion. Corporate widening, according to Sarin and Denis, (1997), has a negative impact on partners' value responsibility. When it comes to expanding company sectors, corporate expansion is valued higher than independent businesses that perform almost identical tasks and provide support for enhanced premiums (Selçuk, Akben 2015). Compared to independent enterprises, differentiated businesses encounter less procurement issues (Seboui and Mehdi, 2011). After overcoming endogeneity challenges, Thakur and Bhatia (2018) looked at corporate expansion in Indian companies and discovered that the relationship between enhancement and execution becomes immovably important and advantageous. Rezaee, Flagg, and Ott Olibe, (2019) investigated the relationship between value and firm expansion in the US sector and discovered that US expanding enterprises are highly valued.

Any organization can have a variety of characteristics, such as being independent or expanding, and these characteristics can lead to a variety of assessment evasions. Charge evasion strategies are influenced by firm size and R&D expenditure. In the middle of corporate powerful expense rates, firm size has a significant impact (Zimmerman, 1983). Consumption of R&D can be linked to charge aversion exercises (Zheng, 2017). In comparison to independent firms, widened firms have less charge evasion as a result of less expenditure on R&D consumption and a large economy of scale. Corporate expansion, all things considered, provides ground-breaking ideas to current exploration writing with charge evasion in mind. As a result, this review investigates the relationship between corporate development and duty aversion techniques. Speculation is encouraged in this review, as it has been in earlier research.

H1: There is a significant link between company expansion and tax avoidance.

B. *Tax Evasion and Leverage*

When an organization invests in property or a project using obligation financing rather than value financing, it is said to have high influence. Lanis and Richaradson (2007) also stated that an organization with a higher obligation to value ratio has a tendency to be more productive in lessed Furthermore, he highlighted that high-influence corporations are less concerned with charge avoidance because they already have a charge avoidance benefit from debt financing. Finally, the benefits of high-influence charge precautions are linked to the level of accountability. According to a scientist, participating in charge cover exercises causes organizations to use less responsibility (Tucker and Graham, 2006). Another study discovered that corporate assessment aversion is inextricably linked to influence, with unanticipated results. Obligation interest costs that exceed a charge law's level are not charge deductible (Koh, 2007; Kweon, Kang, Kim, and Kim, 2009). These data imply that having a strong influence over a certain cutoff raises an organization's expenditure costs, and that businesses with a high influence proportion may be able to avoid charges. Based on past research, this investigation has come up with the following hypothesis.

H2: There is a strong link between influence and duty evasion.

C. *Profitability of the business and tax evasion*

Corporate processes have evolved in the present year as a result of greater competition. Firm productivity refers to businesses that are able to profit from their operations (Soenen and Shin, 1998; Tryfonidis & Lazaridis, 2006; Ajilore nd Falope, 2009). Charge avoidance, on the other hand, virtually invariably results in short-term financial rewards for the company. However, it promotes and creates disadvantages, resulting in a loss in commercial seriousness and, as a result, financial disasters, as well as a decrease in the value and productivity of organizations in the long run (Bogoviz, 2019). Organizations are ready to boost their production by utilizing charge reserve funds and, as a result, enhancing their operational efficiency. Analysts concluded that productivity drives the urge for charge evasion, resulting in more business benefits and advantages from corporate assessment avoidance. Even if there is a possibility of a larger fee, it is okay to pay the cost (Govendir, Lanis, Wells, and, McClure 2018). As numerous ascribes, previous financial scientists have proved that corporate expense forcefulness is the way to fantastic production (Wilson & Rego, 2012). Businesses gain from ETRs as well. The subordinate ETRs, according to Newberry and Gupta (1997), are critical because of their lesser usefulness but stronger impact on speculation fixation. Furthermore, the corporate expenses of the executives contribute to the firm' productivity and the lowering of duty liabilities (Nazarova and Kirina, 2017). Companies with a higher resource yield have a smaller impact on corporate expense avoidance (Richardson et al., 2013). Keeping with the writing, this review explains the philosophy behind it.

H3: There is a strong link between business productivity and tax evasion.

D. *Research questions*

1. The relationship of corporate expansion and duty forcefulness techniques in Pakistan.
2. Absence of FQD with bookkeeping aptitude in AC has a negative relationship with the nature of fiscal reports.

3. Methodology

This review uses information you can choose from to evaluate powerful results. Data is collected from 200 recorded entities on the Pakistan Stock Exchange. 22 non-financial areas were included and we avoided the financial area because it has a different age structure. Those organizations that have been discarded, merged, acquired, replaced, or relocated by the end of the 2018 financial year are denied information. Of the 200 recorded organizations, thirteen percent came from material evolution, eleven percent from material integration, and ten percent from the concrete business. In addition, the design of the selected areas is the construction of the car 8%, the car parts and three percent more, the connections and sales of electricity 3 percent, the five items that make up the four food items, food and other items five percent, glass and clay 4 percent, two different parts, oil exploration and gas three percent, organizations that promote oil and gas two percent, paper and board 4 percent, drugs three percent, energy and shipping three percent, plant processing 2 percent, sugar, and five percent mixed new businesses and 4% books, weaving 2 percent, tobacco two percent ,, and delivery two percent ,. Information duplication is the basis of the year. Information is collected from the organization’s premises, annual organizational reports, and audits of the State Bank's financial records. All sources used for information support are strong in Pakistani organizations.

A. Dependent Variables

This review used income quality as a reliant variable, which is our intermediary for CS, to register the nature of monetary detailing because in corporate execution is named as a CS, which is determined through firm profit. Our reliant variable depends on unquestionably the negative worth of gatherings. Earlier examinations have utilized two accumulation models to quantify profit quality, including the optional gatherings model and non-optional accumulations. Moreover, a critical number of studies have tracked down a negative connection between different BOD qualities and unusual accumulations. Likewise, by utilizing the outright worth of optional gatherings, analyzed the connection among IC and EM in Australian firms; their results recommended that a low degree of EM is related to the presence of non-leader chiefs on the BOD. Moreover, explored the connection between EM and inside review work (IAF) quality by utilizing unusual gatherings and discovered proof that IAF quality is related to a balance in the degree of EM.

B. Free Variables

This review follows the examination of to characterize the free factors that influence CS. The variable ACA addresses the bookkeeping ability of the FQD in the AC and is equivalent to 1 if the FQD is a CA and 0 in any case. The variable ACM addresses the administration ability of the FQD in the AC and is 1 if the FQD holds an MBA in administration and 0 in any case. The variable ACF addresses the monetary ability of the FQD in the AC and is 1 if the FQD holds an MBA in money and 0 in any case. The variable CEOD addresses CEO duality and is 1 if the CEO is additionally the executive of the BOD and 0 in any case. The variable CEO Own addresses the proprietorship portion of the CEO and is 1 if the CEO holds 5% or a greater amount of the offers in the firm and 0 in any case

In this review, the scientist utilizes the accompanying conditions to econometrically clarify the relationship between corporate enhancement and assessment forcefulness strategies in Pakistan.

C. General Equation

$$Yt = \alpha + \beta Xt + \varepsilon t$$

This study estimates the following equations to find the relationship between corporate diversity and tax violence.

$$GAAPETRt = \alpha + \beta 1DIVt + \beta 2LEVt + \beta 3\ EBITtSALEt + \beta 4lnTAt + \beta 5\ CEtSALEt + \beta 6lnMBRt + \varepsilon t \qquad (1)$$

This study estimates the following equations to analyze the role model of solid size. In this study, the strongest size is considered the president. The researcher repeats the diversity of companies (DIV) and the solid size (ln TA representative of the solid size) who is a duplicate and the study president.

$$GAAPETRt = \alpha + \beta 1DIVt + \beta 2DIVt{*}lnTAt + \beta 3LEVt + \beta 4\ EBITtSALEt + \beta 5lnTAt + \beta 6\ CEtSALEt + \beta 7lnMBRt + \varepsilon \qquad (2)$$

4. Analysis

Connection Analysis Table given below shows the results of the related examination. Results show corporate broadening and duty forcefulness have a positive relationship. It implies most expanded organizations have paid more expensive than independent organizations. The table shows corporate broadening has a negative connection between capital consumption and influence. It implies that those organizations which have high capital consumption with high influence are not enhanced. The assessment forcefulness has a negative relationship with capital consumption. Along these lines other all reliant, control and logical factors have a positive relationship with each other. The firm benefit has a solid positive connection between capital consumption 0.63 as per numerous scientists this is additionally OK. While different factors don't have a solid relationship; hence this information is clear structure multicollinearity. This happens when two factors have a high relationship .7, .8, or .9. The worth of the connection examination is +1 to - 1. Connection is a procedure to clarify the relationship with strength and bearing of two factors. While it's anything but an ideal measure since it doesn't clarify cases and impact between at least one factor. To inspect cases and impact, the scientist applies one more measure to tackle this issue.

Table 1
Correlation analysis

Sr. No	Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Capital Expenditure	1						
2	Corporate Diversification	- 0.0664	1					
3	Firm Profitability	0.6386	0.0786	1				
4	Firm Size	0.0346	0.1592	0.1691	1			
5	Tax Aggressiveness -	0.0314	0.2687	0.1205	0.2415	1		
6	Leverage	0.3633	- 0.0164	0.5025	0.1747	0.0094	1	
7	Market to Book Ratio	0.1797	0.1401	0.3020	0.1147	0.1875	0.1893	1

5. Discussion

This examination recognizes the relationship between corporate enhancement and assessment forcefulness procedures with firm attributes for example influence, firm productivity, capital consumption, and market to book proportion. The theory H1 contends that corporate broadening has a critical negative relationship with corporate duty forcefulness. The P worth of the DIV coefficient is under 0.05 with a coefficient of 2.4585. It means the differentiated firm has less expense forceful instead of an independent firm. These results are additionally much by past examinations. The speculation H2 is a firm size mediator between corporate expansion and expense forcefulness. The relapse examination results show p worth of the connection terminal is 0.0172. The bigger firms decline the political expense and that cost is the principal factor of corporate assessment. It means huge firm size updates the corporate enhancement and impacts corporate duty forcefulness.

A. Recommendations

- This review proposes that one of the critical recommendations for the CCG of pretty much every created and creating economy ought to be the accessibility of monetarily qualified individuals in ACs to permit superior grade and powerful maintainability of benefit-making techniques in firms. These discoveries show that CS is emphatically affected by the presence of bookkeeping qualified FQD in AC, which upholds the contentions of earlier exploration which contended that more compelling ACs are probably going to be related with higher bookkeeping aptitude.
- One more significant commitment of this review is that the arbitrary impact model proposes that the shortfall of FQD with bookkeeping mastery in AC has a negative relationship with the nature of budget summaries, as estimated by ROA; this shows that the shortfall of bookkeeping proficient individuals in AC might think twice about figures of the organization which eventually results in low CS. These discoveries can help government controllers at the hour of detailing of their demonstrations and arrangements to legitimately tie firms to keep a bookkeeping master in their ACs since the presence of bookkeeping master in ACs goes about as an impediment to secure the investor's value, which assists with expanding CS.
- Many firms don't have their yearly reports or have inadequate yearly reports on their sites. Therefore, we utilized a t-test and tracked down no orderly contrast between firms that were considered for examination and those excluded from the example due to absent or fragmented CG information.

6. Conclusion

This review analyzes the effect of corporate broadening on charge forcefulness with the directing job of firm size between these two factors. For test choice review utilizes 22 distinct areas, chooses 200 organizations recorded on PSX as the accessibility of information. The time skyline of information is yearly. The outcome shows that corporate enhancement has a huge negative relationship with charge forcefulness. Other than that, firm size directs the connection between corporate expansion and assessment forcefulness. This concentrate first time presents firm size as an arbitrator in the connection between corporate expansion and assessment forcefulness. Second, it broadens the discussion on the relationship between organization expansion and assessment forcefulness of created nations to arising nations. In addition, results show that the high influence of organizations additionally leads less duty assortment since it builds firms' cost and decreases benefit. We propose that the strategy producers and assessment specialists while setting charge arrangements, ought to painstakingly think about the firm size, capital use, firm benefit, and market to book proportion which impact the duty forcefulness. Also, scientists recommend administrative bodies and duty specialists elevate those methodologies to empower the directors and partner so these corporate expansion procedures produce positive signs for possible partners and financial backers by further developing expense exposure and tax assessment framework.

References

[1] F. Huseynov and B. K. Clam. (2012), Journal of Corporate Finance, 18(4), 804-827, on tax avoidance, tax management, and corporate social responsibility.

[2] M. A. Desai and Dharmapala, (2009). Corporate tax evasion and the worth of a company 537-546 in The Review of Economics and Statistics, 91(3)

[3] J. Gallemore and E. Labro. (2015), Journal of Accounting and Economics, 60, 149-167, the importance of the internal information environment in terms of tax evasion (1).

[4] P. Lisowsky, (2010). Searching for a safe haven: Using financial statement data to empirically simulate tax shelters, 1693–1720 in The Accounting Review, vol. 85, and no. 5.

[5] R. J. Wilson (2009). Corporate tax shelter participants are being scrutinized. The Accounting Review, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 969–999.

[6] A. K. Davis, D. A. Guenther, L. K. Krull, and B. M. Williams (2015), do companies that are socially responsible pay more taxes? The accounting review, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 47-68.

[7] R.D. Picur and A. Riahi-Belkaoui (2006), Review of Accounting and Finance, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 174-180, "The impact of bureaucracy, corruption, and tax compliance."

[8] B. Mashaiekhi and J. Seyyedi (2015), Tax evasion and corporate governance 83-103 in Journal of Accounting and Economics.

[9] R. Lanis and G. Richardson (2011), Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 30(1), 50-70, the effect of board of director composition on company tax aggressiveness.

[10] P. Kenny, (2002). Business and Professional Ethics Journal, 21(3/4), 109-127, Taxation, Ethics, and Social Capital in Australia.

[11] J. Chircop, M. Fabrizi, E. Ipino, and A. Parbonetti (2018), Is social capital a hindrance to tax evasion by businesses? 542-565 in Social Responsibility Journal, 14(3).

[12] C. Y. Sam, (2010). The link between tax avoidance and the subterranean economy is investigated. 167-182 in Pakistan Economic and Social Review.

[13] H. A. Annuar, I. A. Salihu, and S. N. S. Obid (2014), an interaction effect between corporate ownership, governance, and tax evasion, 150-160 in Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, vol. 164.

[14] T. Duan, R. Ding, W. Hou, and J. Z. Zhang (2018), CEO publicity and tax evasion are two examples of the cost of attention. 90-101 in Journal of Business Research.

[15] S. Landry, M. Deslandes, and A. Fortin. (2013), Tax avoidance, corporate social responsibility, and ownership structure are all things to think about.

[16] C. S. Armstrong, J. L. Blouin, A. D. Jagolinzer, and D. F. Larson (2015), The challenges of corporate governance, incentives, and tax avoidance must all be addressed. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 60(1), 1-17, A. Berle and G. Means (1932). The Contemporary Corporation and private property. Macmillan, New York.

[17] S. Zheng, (2017). Is it conceivable for a company's diversification to provide additional tax benefits? Journal of Multinational Financial Management, pp. 47-60.

[18] A. Chakrabarti, K. Singh, and I. Mehmood. (2007), Diversification and performance evidence from East Asian businesses. pp. 101-120 in Strategic Management Journal, vol. 28, no. 2.

[19] M. Hanlon and S. Heitzman (2010), Journal of Accounting and Economics, 50, 127-178, An analysis of tax studies (2-3).

[20] M. J. Smith, (2002). Discretion over arm's length transfer prices both before and after the transaction. pp. 161-184 in the Accounting Review, vol. 77, no. 1.

[21] A. Smith, (1776). 1986. Nation-state riches 1 to 3 volumes.

[22] W. H. Meckling, M. C. Jensen, M. C. Jensen, (1976), Managerial behaviour, agency costs, and ownership structures are all part of the firm theory. 305-360 in Journal of Financial Economics.

[23] M.A. Desai &D. Dharmapala, (2006), Journal of Financial Economics, vol. 79, no. 1, 145-179, High-powered incentives and corporate tax evasion.
[24] R. K. Aggarwal and A. A. Samwick (2003), why do entrepreneurs diversify their holdings, the agency went back and forth on its decision. 71-118 in the Journal of Finance, vol. 58, no. 1.
[25] D. Hoechle, M. Schmid, I. Walter, and D. Yermack (2012), How much of the diversification discount can be attributed to poor corporate governance? pp. 41-60 in Journal of Financial Economics, vol. 103, no. 1.
[26] S. Zheng, (2017). Is it possible that a company's diversification will result in further tax advantages? 47-60 in Journal of Multinational Financial Management.
[27] J. G. Matsusaka, (2001). Corporate diversity, value maximization, and organisational competencies are all important factors to consider. 409-431 in the Journal of Business, vol. 74, no. 3.
[28] D. J. Denis, D. K. Denis, and A. Sarin (1997). Issues such as agency, equity ownership, and corporate diversity must all be addressed. 135-160 in the Journal of Finance, vol. 52, no. 1.
[29] E. Akben Selçuk, (2015). Evidence from emerging markets on corporate diversification and firm value. 294-310 in International Journal of Emerging Markets.
[30] I. Khanchel El Mehdi and S. Seboui (2011), Diversification and earnings management are critical components of a company's strategy. Review of Accounting and Finance, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 176-196 (2).
[31] J. G. Matsusaka, (2001). Corporate diversity, value maximization, and organisational competencies are all important factors to consider. 409-431 in the Journal of Business, vol. 74, no. 3.
[32] I. Khanchel El Mehdi and S. Seboui (2011), Diversification and earnings management are critical components of a company's strategy. Review of Accounting and Finance, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 176-196 (2).
[33] A. Bhatia and A. Thakur (2018). An empirical investigation on the relationship between corporate diversification and financial performance. International Journal of Organizational Analysis, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 202-225.
[34] Olibe, K. O., Rezaee, J. Flagg, and Ott, R. (2019), the significance of geographical segment data in terms of corporate diversification, loan maturity, and company worth. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance is a journal devoted to economics and finance research.
[35] M. Hanlon, T. Shevlin, and N. Shroff (J. R. Graham, M. Hanlon, T. Shevlin, and N. Shroff) (2013). Tax planning and avoidance incentives evidence from the field. In the Accounting Review, pages 991-1023.
[36] Y.S. Koh, (2007), PhD dissertation, Yonsei University, Seoul, "A research on business tax avoidance" S. C. Kweon, Y. O. Kang, C. H. Kim, and J. H. Kim. Kweon, S. C. Kang, Y. O. Kang, Y. O. Kang, Y. O. Kang, Y. O. Kang (2009). An empirical investigation on the relationship between tax evasion and characteristics related to business personality. 189-210 in Korea International Accounting Review, no. 26.
[37] J. L. Zimmerman, (1983). Journal of accounting and economics, 5, 119, Taxes and firm size.
[38] S. C. Kweon, Y. O. Kang, C. H. Kim, and J. H. Kim (2009), An empirical investigation on the relationship between tax evasion and several enterprise characteristics variables. 189-210 in Korea International Accounting Review.
[39] Shin, H. H., & Soenen, L. (1998), Financial Practice and Education, vol. 8, no. 37-45, pp. 37-45, the effectiveness of working capital management and a company's profitability.
[40] Lazaridis and D. Tryfonidis (2006), Journal of financial management and analysis, 19(1), pp. 26-35, Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies on the Athens stock exchange.
[41] O. I. Falope and O. T. Ajilore (2009), Working capital management and corporate performance evidence from a panel data analysis of selected listed Nigerian companies, Research Journal of Business Management, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 73-84.
[42] R. McClure, R. Lanis, P. Wells, and B. Govendir (2018), Journal of Company Finance, 48, 492- 514, The influence of dividend imputation on corporate tax avoidance: the case of shareholder value.
[43] L. S. Kirina and N. A. Nazarova (2017, July), In International Conference on Humans as an Object of Study by Modern Science (pp. 476-484), Springer, Cham, Tax Planning as a Basis of the System of Corporate Tax Management.